

**STATE OF OHIO
CASINO CONTROL COMMISSION**

In re:

**RONEISE BUSH-EDWARDS,
CASINO GAMING EMPLOYEE LICENSE
APPLICANT**

Applicant.

:
:
Case No. 2013-073
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

ORDER DENYING CASINO GAMING EMPLOYEE LICENSE APPLICATION

On June 19, 2013, Applicant Roneise Bush-Edwards filed with the Ohio Casino Control Commission (“Commission”) an application for a casino gaming employee license. Thereafter, the Commission conducted a suitability investigation of Bush-Edwards to determine her eligibility for such a license.

A casino gaming employee license applicant is eligible for licensure upon meeting the following criteria: (1) being at least 21 years of age, R.C. 3772.131(C); (2) filing a true and complete Casino Gaming Employee License Application (“Application”), R.C. 3772.131(D) and Ohio Adm. Code 3772-8-02(A); (3) submission of two sets of the applicant’s fingerprints and a photograph, R.C. 3772.131(E); (4) payment of the nonrefundable application fee of \$250.00, R.C. 3772.131(E) and Ohio Adm. Code 3772-8-03(A), and all fees necessary to cover the cost of the background investigation in excess of the application fee, Ohio Adm. Code 3772-8-03(B); (5) reimbursement of the costs for the background check, including the criminal records check, R.C. 3772.07 and 3772.131(E); (6) not having been convicted of or pled guilty or no contest to a disqualifying offense, R.C. 3772.07; and (7) otherwise is suitable for licensure, R.C. 3772.10(B) and (C)(7).

During a suitability investigation of Bush-Edwards, the Commission discovered sufficient derogatory information to warrant issuance of a Notice of Intent to Deny and Opportunity for Hearing (“Notice”), dated December 19, 2013. (Exhibit A.) Bush-Edwards received the Notice, sent via certified mail, on December 21, 2013. (Exhibit B.) Pursuant to R.C. 119.07 and 3772.04, Bush-Edwards had the right to a hearing if requested within 30 days of the Notice’s mailing. Bush-Edwards failed to do so, however. Accordingly, no hearing was held and the matter was brought before the Commission on February 12, 2014, for final adjudication. R.C. 119.07 and 3772.04(A).

WHEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and upon a quorum and majority vote of the members, the Commission **FINDS** and **CONCLUDES** as follows:

- 1) The results of the criminal records check obtained by the Commission pursuant to R.C. 3772.07 and the results of the Commission’s licensing investigation revealed that Bush-Edwards submitted an Application that contained false information and

failed to set forth all of the information required by the Commission, to wit, she failed to disclose in Question #8 of the Application that:

- A) She was arrested for and/or charged with a “hindering apprehension” violation on or about March 7, 1996, which resulted in a conviction for, disposition of, and/or plea of guilty or no contest to a “hindering apprehension” violation, on or about March 12, 1996, in the Hammonton Municipal Court, New Jersey; and
- B) She was arrested for and/or charged with a “false information” violation on or about February 26, 1998, which resulted in a conviction for, disposition of, and/or plea of guilty or no contest to a “false information” violation, on or about March 17, 1998, in the Hammonton Municipal Court, New Jersey,

in violation of R.C. 3772.10(C)(2) and (5), R.C. 3772.131(D), and Ohio Adm. Code 3772-8-02(A).

- 2) The results of the criminal records check obtained by the Commission pursuant to R.C. 3772.07 and the results of the Commission’s licensing investigation revealed that Bush-Edwards has been convicted of, or pleaded guilty or no contest to, one or more offenses that have an element of fraud or misrepresentation, and/or of moral turpitude, constituting one or more “disqualifying offenses” as defined by R.C. 3772.07(D), to wit, she was convicted of, or pled guilty or no contest to, a “false information” violation on or about March 17, 1998, in the Hammonton Municipal Court, New Jersey, in violation of R.C. 3772.10 (C)(1).
- 3) Based on the above allegations, which resulted from the criminal records check obtained by the Commission pursuant to R.C. 3772.07 and the Commission’s licensing investigation, Bush-Edwards failed to establish, by clear and convincing evidence her suitability for licensure as a casino gaming employee, as required by R.C. 3772.10(B) and (C)(7). **In addition to the allegations outlined above, she:**
 - A) Received a financial lien or judgment against her on or about October 19, 1999, wherein judgment of money was entered against her on behalf of the Office of the Public Defender, in the amount of \$247.50, in the Camden County Family Division Court;
 - B) Was named in an action for restitution of property against her on behalf of Svetlana Shaulor on or about June 23, 2006, which was dismissed on or about July 14, 2006, in the Toledo Municipal Court; and
 - C) Was named in an action for forcible entry and detainer against her on behalf of JM Capital Ltd. on or about March 31, 2008, which was dismissed on or about April 21, 2008, in the Bedford Municipal Court.

WHEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and upon a quorum and majority vote of the members, the Commission **ORDERS** as follows:

- 1) Bush-Edwards's Application is **DENIED**.
- 2) Bush-Edwards is **PROHIBITED** from working or otherwise serving in any capacity that requires a license under R.C. Chapter 3772.
- 3) Bush-Edwards is **PROHIBITED** from reapplying for licensure under R.C. Chapter 3772 for 3 years from the date the Order is served upon her, absent a waiver granted by the Commission commensurate with Ohio Adm. Code 3772-1-04.
- 4) A certified copy of the Order shall be served upon Bush-Edwards, via certified mail, return receipt requested, and her counsel of record, if any, via ordinary mail.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Jo Ann Davidson, Chair
Ohio Casino Control Commission

NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS

The Party is hereby notified that pursuant to R.C. 119.12, the Commission Order may be appealed by filing a Notice of Appeal with the Commission setting forth the Order that the Party is appealing from and stating that the Commission's Order is not supported by reliable, probative, and substantial evidence and is not in accordance with law. The Notice of Appeal may also include, but is not required to include, the specific grounds for the appeal. The Notice of Appeal must also be filed with the appropriate court of common pleas in accordance with R.C. 119.12. In filing the Notice of Appeal with the Commission or court, the notice that is filed may be either the original Notice of Appeal or a copy thereof. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within 15 days after the date of mailing of the Commission Order.